

FILE 1111
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

AD-A187 882

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| 2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE | | | 1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS | | |
| 4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) | | | 3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited AFOSR-TR- 87-1644 | | |
| 6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Honeywell Inc Physical Sciences Ctr | | 6b OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) | 7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR/NE | | |
| 6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10701 Lyndale Avenue South Bloomington, MN 55420 | | | 7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Bldg 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 | | |
| 8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION SAME AS 7a | | 8b OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) | 9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER F49620-86-C-0082 | | |
| 8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) SAME AS 7b | | | 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO 61102F PROJECT NO DARPA TASK NO 5794/00 WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO | | |
| 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Optical Symbolic Processor for Expert System Execution | | | | | |
| 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) James H. ... | | | | | |
| 13a TYPE OF REPORT Quarterly R&D Status | | 13b TIME COVERED FROM 01 Jun 87 TO 01 Aug 87 | | 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) NOV 1987 | |
| 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION | | | | | |
| 17 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP | | | 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) | | |
| 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) In this quarter, we conducted a performance evaluation of the proposed optical architecture SPARO (Symbolic Processing Architecture in Optics). Specifically, we examined the performance of the ring interconnection network of SPARO. An accurate performance model was developed for predicting the message throughput of bidirectional ring network. As suspected earlier, the ring network was the bottleneck in the performance. This led us to study other network topologies that are both feasible in optics and yield high throughput. The final choice of the optical interconnection network topology was deemed by the replicated perfect shuffle network. | | | | | |
| 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS | | | 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED | | |
| 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL DR C LEE GILES | | | 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 202 767 4931 | | 22c OFFICE SYMBOL NE |

OPTICAL SYMBOLIC PROCESSOR FOR EXPERT SYSTEM EXECUTION

Quarterly R&D Status Report No. 5

For the period from 1 June 1987 to 31 August 1987

ARPA Order No. 5794

Program Code 6D10

Period of Performance: 1 June 1987 to 30 May 1987

Amount of Contract: \$508,044

Contract Number: F49620-86-C-0082

Prepared by

Dr. Alope Guha

Principal Investigator

(612) 541-6850

Honeywell Corporate Systems Development Division

submitted to

Dr. C. Lee Giles

Program Manager

(202) 767-4984

Air Force Office of Scientific Research



| | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Accession For | |
| NTIS GRA&I | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unannounced | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Justification | |
| By | |
| Distribution/ | |
| Availability Codes | |
| Dist | Avail and/or Special |
| A-1 | |

Prepared by: *A. Guha*

A. Guha

Principal Investigator

Date: 9/1/87

Approved by: *A. Husain*

A. Husain

Section Head

Date: 9/4/87

Approved by: *D. Fulkerson*

D. Fulkerson

Department Manager

Date: 9/9/87

INTRODUCTION

The goal of this program is to develop a concept for an optical computer architecture for symbolic computing by defining a computation model of a high level language, examining the possible devices for the ultimate construction of a processor, and by defining required optical operations.

PROGRESS FOR THE PERIOD

In this quarter we conducted a performance evaluation of the proposed optical architecture SPARO (Symbolic Processing Architecture in Optics). Specifically, we examined the performance of the ring interconnection network of SPARO. An accurate performance model was developed for predicting the message throughput of bidirectional ring network. As suspected earlier, the ring network was the bottleneck in the performance. This led us to study other network topologies that are both feasible in optics and yield high throughput. The final choice of the optical interconnection network topology was deemed to be the replicated perfect shuffle network.

The following are the major accomplishments for this quarter:

Performance evaluation of the ring interconnection network

We derived an accurate analytical model of unidirectional and bidirectional ring interconnection networks for predicting the message throughput. The results obtained from the analytical model were later verified by simulation. Both sets of results showed remarkably close agreement. When messages exhibit no locality, the throughput for a 1K processor network is limited to 8. With local messages, the maximum throughput for the same network is 27.

Selection of alternate interconnection network topology

The analysis of the ring network revealed that although a register-based ring network may be easy to construct, its performance for highly parallel computing is unacceptable, especially when the number of processors are more than 100. Since we are proposing fine-grained processing in optics, the number of processors to be considered is 1K or more. The discovery of poor performance by large rings motivated us to examine alternate high-performance interconnection network topologies that can be implemented in optics. Among the possible alternatives, we examined directly connected networks such as hypercubes, multi-stage (indirect-connected) interconnection networks (MINs) such as delta networks, and the replicated single-stage shuffle-exchange network (SEN) where a number of parallel SENs are used to interconnect a large number of processors. The replicated SEN appears to be the most promising since it can be implemented in optics and yet not suffer from the blocking problems of the single-stage SEN.

Comparative evaluation of the replicated SEN

The perfect shuffle exchange network appears to be feasible in optics [3]. However, since it was not clear whether its performance was at par with other known networks, we conducted simulations of the different networks under various load conditions. Our results indicate that replicated SENs have performance close to or comparable to other networks such as MINs or hypercubes, and therefore are good candidates for parallel optical and optoelectronic computing.

EXPERIMENTAL OR SPECIAL EQUIPMENT PURCHASED OR CONSTRUCTED

None.

CHANGE IN KEY PERSONNEL

After the departure of Matt Derstine, Alope Guha, the principal computer architect on the program, has assumed the role of the principal investigator.

INFORMATION DERIVED FROM MEETINGS, VISITS, BRIEFINGS, AND SCIENTIFIC PAPERS

In this quarter we have relied on a number of technical papers for information on the performance of interconnection networks, replicated shuffle-exchange networks, and feasibility of some of these networks in optics. The most relevant papers are listed below.

- [1] D.H. Lawrie and D.A. Padua, 'Analysis of message switching with shuffle-exchanges in multiprocessors,' Proc. of the Workshop on Interconnection Networks for Parallel and Distributed Processing, 1980, pp. 116 - 123.
- [2] J.H. Patel, 'Performance of processor-memory interconnections for multiprocessors,' IEEE Transactions on Computers, October 1981, pp. 771 - 780.
- [3] C.W. Stirk, R.A. Athale, C.B. Friedlander, private communication.

PROBLEMS NEEDING GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE

None.

DEVIATIONS FROM THE PLANNED EFFORT

Work on the device portion has been postponed until the architecture of the network has been finalized.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES AIR FORCE QUARTERLY CONTRACTOR R & D STATUS REPORT | | REPORT FOR QUARTER ENDING. AUGUST 1987 | |
| OPTICAL SYMBOLIC PROCESSOR FOR EXPERT SYSTEM EXECUTION | | INITIAL CONTRACT PHASE OPTION ONE | |
| TO: U.S.A.F., A.F.S.C. Air Force Office of Scientific Research Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 | | FROM: HONEYWELL, INC. PSC 10701 LYNDAL AVE. SO. BLOOMINGTON, MN. 55420 | |
| 1. Description Of Contract | a. Type : Cost Plus Fixed Fee | b. Contract Number : | F49620-86-C-0082 |
| | c. Contract Value : | \$865,429 | |
| 2. EXPENDITURES | | 3. COMMITMENTS | |
| a. Costs \$342,461 | b. Fee \$0 | a. Materials \$0 | b. Subcontracts \$116,634 |
| 4. Funding Limitation \$523,456 | 5. Estimated Date of Completion MAY 30, 1988 | 6. Estimated Funds to Complete \$865,429 | |